



ATTORNEYS
NOTARIES
CONVEYANCERS

Section 35, 2nd Floor, Block D, De Wagenweg OfficePark,
Stellentia Road, Stellenbosch, 7600 | 021 300 8660

12 Murchison Street, Ceres, 6835 | 021 773 0301

Shop 17, Yzer Corner, Yzerfontein, 7351 | 071 134 3567

admin@kjhlaw.co.za | www.kjhlaw.co.za

   @kjhattorneys

Our Ref: LL/nr/BK5215

Date: 03 August 2026

**FOR ATTENTION: THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE
CITY OF CAPE TOWN DISTRICT OF TYGERBERG**

FOR ATTENTION: MAJOR GENERAL SW DYANTYI
BY EMAIL: DyantiSW@saps.gov.za / wc-provcomm@saps.gov.za
0825221444

AND TO: THE STATION COMMANDER DURBANVILLE SAPS
FOR ATTENTION: COLONEL G MUNSAMY
BY EMAIL: DURBANVILLESAPS@saps.gov.za / 0824691451
BY HAND: 2 CHURCH STREET, DURBANVILLE

Dear Sirs,

RE: JEAN PARKER TRUST (TRUST REGISTRATION NUMBER: T652/84),/
ALTYDGEDACHT FARM, DURBANVILLE REQUEST FOR URGENT SENIOR-
LEVEL INTERVENTION AND COORDINATED POLICING RESPONSE

1. We act on behalf of Jean Parker Trust (Trust Registration Number: T652/84), the registered owner of Altydgedacht Farm, situated in Durbanville, Cape Town (*"the farm"*).
2. We address this letter to the City of Cape Town District and Tygerberg Sub-District Commands because of a sustained and increasingly serious pattern of alleged criminal conduct on the farm, repeated engagement with Durbanville SAPS, and the

KELLERMAN JOUBERT HEYNS INC.

Reg. No. 2019/261485/21 | VAT: 4370286801 | Practice Nr: 64418

Directors: Barend Jacobus Kellerman BA LLB | Aimee Mae Joubert BAcc LLB, PGDip (Tax Law)

Adriaan Louw Heyns BA LLB | Michelle Lombard BComm LLB.

Associates: Laura Light LLB | Angelique le Roux LLB BComm | Chelse Petersen LLB | Jacques le Roux LLB | Ford van Gend LLB BComm.

IN ASSOCIATION WITH BSM ATTORNEYS

growing concern that the ordinary station-level response has not been sufficient to address the prevailing circumstances.

3. Our client does not seek to criticise individual SAPS members who have attended the farm or investigated particular complaints. The concern is that the incidents are recurring, interrelated and appear to require coordinated intervention, proper oversight and, where warranted, the involvement of appropriate specialised and operational units.
4. Eviction proceedings are presently pending in the Land Court under case number 2026-112828 in respect of approximately 65 persons occupying houses and other areas of the farm.
5. An application for substituted service is enrolled for hearing on 27 July 2026. Once the order is granted, the eviction application will be served and prosecuted in accordance with the applicable legal and procedural requirements.
6. We expressly record that our client does not request SAPS to assist it in removing any occupier or to perform any function connected with the execution of an eviction.
7. Our client recognises that the right of any person to occupy the farm must be determined through the pending court proceedings.
8. The pending eviction proceedings do not, however, confer immunity from investigation or prosecution for criminal conduct. The alleged offences and immediate safety risks must be addressed independently of the civil proceedings.
9. Durbanville SAPS has attended the farm on a number of occasions and is familiar with the property and certain of the persons residing there.
10. We refer, in particular, to the attached ledger of criminal incidents reported to SAPS with their case numbers, this ledger however represents only a margin of the actual criminal incidents on the farm.
11. We are instructed that a number of the complaints have not resulted in meaningful feedback to the complainants and that the criminal activity has continued.
12. We accordingly request a senior-level review of the existing complaints, dockets and policing response relating to the farm.

13. During the past six to eight months, our client has received an increasing number of reports from residents, commercial tenants, employees and persons working on the farm concerning a material deterioration in safety and security.
14. The reported incidents and concerns include:
 - 14.1 assault, threatened assault, intimidation and aggressive conduct;
 - 14.2 threats directed at residents, commercial tenants, employees and other persons working on or visiting the farm;
 - 14.3 threats to kill or injure dogs and other animals;
 - 14.4 animals being struck with stones or threatened by persons carrying sticks, golf clubs or similar objects;
 - 14.5 dogs roaming uncontrolled and allegedly attacking or seriously injuring other dogs and animals;
 - 14.6 theft of batteries, copper, equipment and other property;
 - 14.7 the burning of suspected stolen copper or other material in bush areas;
 - 14.8 cutting and repeated damage to fences;
 - 14.9 damage to and removal of security cameras and other security infrastructure;
 - 14.10 unlawful entry into, or repeated breaking open of, structures which have been secured by the owner;
 - 14.11 alleged cultivation, possession and sale of dagga or other unlawful substances from premises on the farm;
 - 14.12 the alleged use of tik and other substances by persons residing on or frequenting the farm;
 - 14.13 open fires being made in bush areas, creating a serious fire risk to residents, tenants, horses, neighbouring properties and vegetation;
 - 14.14 persons sleeping in or moving between bush areas, abandoned structures and other areas not intended for residential occupation;

- 14.15 conduct causing commercial tenants and their employees to fear for their safety;
 - 14.16 intimidation of older and vulnerable residents;
 - 14.17 fear of retaliation against persons who report criminal conduct; and
 - 14.18 an increasing reluctance amongst witnesses and affected residents to make statements or identify perpetrators.
- 15. The written complaint received from a commercial tenant records that the deterioration has affected her staff, business operations and ability to conduct client sessions safely. It also refers to threats against animals, substance-related disturbances, fires in bush areas and intimidation of residents.
 - 16. Some of the allegations remain under investigation and are presently based on reports received from third parties.
 - 17. There is, however, sufficient information to demonstrate a pattern requiring assessment and intervention by SAPS.
 - 18. We are informed that the Cape of Good Hope SPCA has previously investigated a number of animal-welfare complaints arising from the farm, with the following dockets registered: Durbanville **CAS 257/02/2024**.
 - 19. A fresh animal-welfare complaint is also being submitted to the SPCA in respect of recent incidents and animals presently on the farm.
 - 20. We request that the District and Sub-District Commands liaise with the SPCA and the relevant investigating officers to ensure that the new complaints are properly assessed in the context of the existing history.
 - 21. Our client is implementing a central incident-reporting system under which each occurrence will, as far as possible, be recorded. The estate manager, Mr Marius van Rensburg, has been instructed to preserve contemporaneous records and to collate historical complaints, photographs, videos and case numbers.
 - 22. Our client is also consulting with commercial tenants and other witnesses to obtain properly particularised statements. We appreciate that SAPS cannot act upon vague allegations or allegations unsupported by an identifiable complainant, witness or factual basis. Our client will accordingly provide the available evidence in an

organised dossier and will assist in identifying complainants and witnesses, subject to appropriate arrangements for their safety.

23. We respectfully request that the District Commissioner and Tygerberg Sub-District Commander:

- 23.1 appoint a senior commissioned officer to coordinate SAPS's response to the incidents on the farm;
- 23.2 confirm the name, rank and contact details of that officer;
- 23.3 conduct a review of all open and previously reported dockets relating to the farm and the persons identified in the attached schedule;
- 23.4 identify the investigating officers responsible for each open docket;
- 23.5 provide lawful feedback to the respective complainants regarding the status of their cases;
- 23.6 determine whether any dockets have become dormant, require further evidence or should be referred back for additional investigation;
- 23.7 liaise with the relevant prosecutors concerning matters already enrolled at the Bellville District Court;
- 23.8 arrange a meeting involving the District or Sub-District representative, the Durbanville Station Commander, the Detective Commander, our client's representatives, the estate manager and the SPCA;
- 23.9 conduct an operational risk assessment of the farm;
- 23.10 consider whether targeted visible-policing patrols or other crime-prevention measures can reasonably be implemented during the period immediately following service of the eviction application;
- 23.11 assess whether the alleged drug cultivation or dealing warrants investigation by an appropriate narcotics, crime-intelligence or specialised operational component;

- 23.12 assess whether the pattern of theft, copper burning and property damage warrants a coordinated investigation rather than the treatment of each incident in isolation;
 - 23.13 ensure that complaints involving assault, intimidation, malicious damage to property and animal cruelty are properly registered and investigated;
 - 23.14 advise complainants regarding the evidence required to support prosecution;
 - 23.15 consider suitable measures to protect witnesses and complainants who reasonably fear retaliation;
 - 23.16 liaise with the Cape of Good Hope SPCA regarding its pending inspections, warrants, dockets and the safety of its officials when attending the farm;
 - 23.17 consider whether any joint operation involving SAPS, the SPCA and City of Cape Town Law Enforcement is warranted;
 - 23.18 provide guidance on how urgent incidents should be escalated where an immediate response is required; and
 - 23.19 provide a written response setting out the interventions which SAPS is able to undertake.
24. We do not request indiscriminate police action against the occupant community. Any investigation or operation should be directed at identifiable criminal conduct and should be undertaken lawfully, proportionately and with due regard to the rights and safety of all persons residing and working on the farm.
25. We also do not suggest that every person residing on the farm is involved in criminal conduct. A number of residents are themselves reportedly victims of intimidation and fear.
26. Once the eviction application is served, all occupiers will become aware of the proceedings both us and our client are concerned that this may result in further damage and increased intimidation and violence.
27. We accept that the mere possibility of future misconduct does not establish that an offence has been or will be committed. The existing history nevertheless justifies

advance coordination between our client and SAPS so that reported incidents can be addressed promptly and properly documented.

28. We accordingly request that a clear escalation and response protocol be agreed before or shortly after service of the application.

29. Our client will:

29.1 provide the available schedule of previous complaints and case numbers;

29.2 provide copies of photographs, videos, witness statements and supporting documents;

29.3 facilitate a site meeting, subject to reasonable safety arrangements;

29.4 identify known crime and fire hotspots on a plan of the farm;

29.5 provide access to available CCTV footage;

29.6 encourage direct victims and witnesses to make statements;

29.7 preserve evidence and avoid interfering with suspected crime scenes; and

29.8 cooperate with SAPS, the SPCA, City Law Enforcement and the prosecuting authority.

30. The estate manager, Mr Marius van Rensburg, may be contacted through our offices to arrange access to the farm.

31. Given the recurring nature of the incidents, the existing criminal dockets, the pending service of the eviction application and the concerns regarding retaliation, we request that this matter be treated as urgent.

32. Kindly provide us, within ten business days, with:

32.1 confirmation of the senior officer appointed to coordinate the matter;

32.2 proposed dates for an initial meeting and site inspection;

32.3 confirmation that the existing dockets will be reviewed;

32.4 details of any documents or information required from our client;

- 32.5 confirmation of the appropriate escalation channel for urgent incidents; and
- 32.6 an indication of the operational or investigative steps which SAPS proposes to consider.
33. Should this letter have been addressed to the incorrect office or official, kindly forward it immediately to the appropriate command and advise us accordingly.
34. Our client's rights remain reserved.

Yours faithfully,

KELLERMAN JOUBERT HEYNS INC.



This is an electronically
generated signature

Per:

LAURA LIGHT BADENHORST